

# Workshop in Scientific Writing and Publishing

*For Indonesian researchers in disaster risk and related subjects*

📅 10<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> June 2021  
🕒 14:00 – 18:00 WIB UTC+7

Virtual Event

# 1 to 1 publication surgery

Professor Richard Haigh

*Global Disaster Resilience Centre, University of Huddersfield, UK*

# 28<sup>th</sup> June – 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2021, 08:00 – 12:00 UTC+1 / 14:00 – 18:00 WIB UTC+7

## 1-to-1 publication surgery (by appointment)

- 45 minute 1-to-1 meetings with editors/reviewers to get constructive feedback on draft abstracts or manuscripts. This will be an interactive peer review process and aims to help you prepare and improve your manuscript, and to maximise the opportunity for it to be accepted for publication.

Written feedback will also be provided in a form that mirrors the type of peer review criteria that the majority of international journals adopt when considering manuscripts for publication. This includes:

- **Originality:** Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?
- **Relationship to Literature:** Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?
- **Methodology:** Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?
- **Results:** Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?
- **Practicality and/or Research implications:** Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?
- **Quality of Communication:** Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.